

Internal Audit Service

Internal Audit Report

CONFIDENTIAL

Head of Finance To: Subject: **Council Tax** Audit report ref: **GBC 35**

Date: February 2005

Control objective 1: All taxable properties are identified and accurately recorded.

Risk: The Council fails to collect Council Tax on all taxable properties.

RISK: The Council fails to collect Council Tax on all taxable properties.			
Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation and management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
1.1 Detailed written procedures exist for the creation and maintenance of the property database.	Written procedures, compiled by supervisors for the areas for which they are responsible, are available to staff. The requirements of the Data Protection Act have been incorporated into these procedures.	Yes	
1.2 Notifications of new buildings and relevant alterations to buildings are issued in a timely manner by other departments. These are then advised to the Valuation Office.	The Planning Department provides details of all new buildings to the Council Tax section. These details are then formally notified to the Valuation Officer. The notification list for June 2004 was selected for testing and the following was confirmed: works on each property listed were completed during June 2004; the list was submitted promptly to the Council Tax Section; and the Valuation Office was notified promptly of the changes by the Council Tax Section.	Yes	
1.3 Each property is identified by a unique reference number.	A unique reference number identifies each property. The valuation list (available for public inspection) was reviewed during the audit, which confirmed each property had its own reference number.	Yes	

1

Control objective 1: All taxable properties are identified and accurately recorded. Risk: The Council fails to collect Council Tax on all taxable properties.

Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation and management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
1.4 Control totals from the property database are periodically reconciled with Valuation Office listings.	Reconciliation takes place between the property database and details held by the Valuation Office every two weeks.	Yes	
	Testing confirmed that the reconciliations for 31/03/04, 07/04/04, 19/04/04 and 26/04/04 had been carried out, signed and dated by the relevant staff.		
1.5 All amendments to the property database are processed by authorised staff only and are adequately supported by documentary evidence.	Authorised personnel input amendments to the property database. A sample of 8 amendments, notified by the Valuation Office in June 2004, was selected to confirm the accuracy of details input to the Council Tax system. No discrepancies were found on the sample checked.	Yes	
1.6 Composite properties in the property database are agreed to the NNDR database.	It was confirmed by discussion that procedures for an ongoing check are now in place. A sample of ten such properties was verified during the audit and no discrepancies were found in the sample selected.	Yes	

Control objective 2: All persons liable for Council Tax have been identified and all discounts, benefits, exemptions and allowances have been correctly recorded within the accounts.

Risk: The Council fails to collect Council Tax from all liable persons.

Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
2.1 Detailed written procedures exist for the creation and maintenance of personal records. These procedures comply with the requirements of the Data Protection Act.	See findings above at 1.1.	Yes	
2.2 Each Council-Tax payer is identified by a unique reference number and linked to the property.	The system identifies each person with a unique number. This was confirmed during the audit by discussion and observation of the system in operation.	Yes	
2.3 All discounts, benefits, exemptions and allowances are properly calculated and recorded and authorised before input to the system.	As reported at the previous audit, supporting information is available for recent discounts and exemptions. Those pre-dating 2001, however, are often not supported by evidence.	Yes	
&	A sample of 26 cases was selected, one for each category of discount or allowance applied during 2004/05. In all cases, the following was confirmed:-		
2.4 All amendments to personal data including discounts etc are reviewed by an independent officer.	 The system clearly identifies the reason for the discount or allowance; Adequate documentation was available to support the discount or allowance in all but three cases, two of which were pre 2001; The discount was applied to the basic debit charge. 		
	In addition to the above, a sample of ten properties subject to a single occupancy discount was selected and compared to the details on the electoral roll. In each case the details corresponded.		
	With regard to the one recent missing document, it was agreed during the audit that a copy would be sought and placed on file.		

Control objective 2: All persons liable for Council Tax have been identified and all discounts, benefits, exemptions and allowances have been correctly recorded within the accounts.

Risk: The Council fails to collect Council Tax from all liable persons.

Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
2.5 Regular inspections of void properties are carried out and recorded.	Void properties are inspected at least twice a year. A sample of 10 properties recorded as 'void' on the Council Tax system was selected for testing. All had been regularly visited and both the paperwork and Council Tax system had been kept up to date.	Yes	
2.6 The Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages notifies the Authority of all deceased persons, as appropriate together with the name and date of death.	Details of deaths notified by the Registrar are entered onto the Council Tax system on receipt. Deaths, which are registered out of the immediate vicinity, are not reported to Gedling Borough Council directly. It is necessary to await instruction from a relative in such cases. Testing of a sample of 10 notifications from the Registrar confirmed the following:- • All accounts had been updated; • Liability ceased at the date of death; • Where appropriate, liability was transferred to the executors; and • Any amounts outstanding were being pursued; although on the sample selected there was no outstanding liability, only overpayments to be returned to the deceased's estate.	Yes	

Control objective 3: Council Tax bills are raised accurately and in a timely manner. Risk: The Council's cash-flow is adversely affected.

Expected Audit recommendation				
Expected control	Audit findings	control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation	
3.1 Council Tax bills contain all relevant information.	A sample invoice was selected, and it was confirmed that all the details required were properly set out.	Yes		
3.2 Methods for payment are clearly shown on the bills.	Methods of payment are shown on the reverse of the bill. Payment information is repeated on the leaflet issued with every bill.	Yes		
3.3 Controls exist to ensure completeness of input prior to the billing run.	A reconciliation between the opening and closing balances is carried out at the initial bill run at the start of the financial year. Resources do not allow for this procedure following daily bill runs, and suitable reports are not generated by the system in any case.	Yes		
3.4 Bills and amendment bills are raised in a timely manner.	The initial bills for 2004/05 were raised on 12.03.04 with the first instalment due 01.04.04. Bills and amendments are raised as the changes to information are received.	Yes		
	A sample of nine revised demand notices was selected, and we confirmed in all cases that the revised demand was issued promptly and that the payer was given at least 14 days notice of the revised charge becoming due.			
3.5 The figure for 'Opening Debit' is reconciled with independent records such as property and personal control totals.	At the start of the financial year, a reconciliation takes place between the property and personnel records. Resource and system restrictions limit the ability of the Section to complete this more frequently.	Yes		
	As part of the audit, a reconciliation of the total debit outstanding per the Council Tax system to the figure reported to the management team was completed by the Revenues Manager. This identified a small discrepancy of £89.24, relating to 2003/04.			
	New systems are being investigated to allow this reconciliation to be carried out more easily.			

Control objective 3: Council Tax bills are raised accurately and in a timely manner.
Risk: The Council's cash-flow is adversely affected.

Risk: The Council's cash-flow is adversely affected.				
Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation	
3.6 The council tax system provides a daily tabulation of the total income received in respect of each payment method. & 3.7 Council tax staff should agree daily, in total and by payment type, that the amount collected has been posted to personal and suspense accounts and that it agrees with the main ledger.	 Tabulations of income received on a daily basis are processed and reconciled by accountancy staff. Testing for amounts received on 12.08.04 confirmed the following:- One item was credited to the suspense account for the date selected for testing and cleared on 20.08.04 five bank transfer payments received were posted to the correct Council Tax account; three cheques received through the post were credited to the correct Council Tax accounts; six attachment of earnings deductions were posted to the correct Council Tax account; a sample of three cheque payments and five cash payments received at the Civic Centre cash office were posted to the correct Council Tax account; one post office card payment was posted to the correct Council Tax account. 	Yes		
 3.8 In respect of rejected direct debits:- All rejected direct debits should be supported by a rejection form sent by the taxpayer's bank. Action taken in respect of rejected direct debits should be recorded. All unpaid direct debits should be debited to the relevant taxpayer's account. 	A BACS printout is received by Accountancy Services of rejected direct debits detailing the reason for rejection from the bank. Testing of 10 rejection notices dated 25/06/03 confirmed for each that:- the Council Tax payer's account was adjusted to show the rejected direct debit appropriate follow-up action was taken; and the BACS printout was annotated with the action taken in each case.	Yes		

Control objective 4: Income is recorded correctly within the individuals' accounts. Risk: The Council Tax section has to deal with a high level of queries, errors and adjustments.			
Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
4.1 There is a clear separation of duties between the staff who raise, amend and cancel accounts and those responsible for collecting and posting income to accounts.	Adequate separation of duties exists as bills are raised within the Council Tax section and income is handled by Accountancy Services. The arrangements remain unchanged from the previous year.	Yes	
4.2 Income from all sources is totalled daily and agreed independently to the amount posted to the Council Tax system.	Income is processed on a daily basis within FMS and the Council Tax system. Sample testing undertaken above at 3.6 and 3.7 confirmed that procedures are operating as intended.	Yes	
4.3 Income collected is reconciled between the ledger accounts (FMS) and the Council Tax system.	Monthly reconciliation takes place between the two systems.	Yes	
4.4 Suspense accounts are reviewed regularly and income identified is promptly transferred. Such transfers are properly authorised.	Suspense accounts are reviewed on a daily basis and miscodings are transferred to the appropriate account. Testing of ten of the amounts coded to suspense between 01/04/04 to 30/04/04 confirmed that the items concerned were followed up and transferred to the correct location in the accounts quickly. A check of the current amount held on the suspense account at 12/08/04 revealed; Only two postings since 01/04/04 totalling £121.23 had still to be cleared. £4,432.13 from previous financial years (starting in 1995/96) remains uncleared.	Yes No	Suspense items over six years old should be cleared from the suspense account to prevent a build-up of items with no realistic chance of being resolved. (Low risk) Comments of the Head of Finance Agreed Date for Implementation 31/03/05 Officer Responsible for Implementation Revenues Manager

Control objective 4: Income is recorded correctly within the individuals' accounts.

Risk: The Council Tax section has to deal with a high level of queries, errors and adjustments.

Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
4.5 Where refunds are due, these are independently authorised.	Refunds are generated by staff within the Council Tax section and checked by staff within Client Services. Refunds are authorised by the Revenue Manager or the Head of Housing Benefit. A sample of 10 refunds processed in the current financial year was tested to confirm the following: A credit balance existed on the account prior to the refund being made The refund voucher was properly completed and certified for payment.	Yes	
4.6 The total of all refunds made is periodically reconciled to the amounts paid.	Refunds are reconciled on a monthly basis by staff within Client Services. The process followed for the reconciliation in June 2004 was reviewed and confirmed to be based on appropriate documentation and supporting reports.	Yes	

Control objective 5: Arrears are identified promptly and pursued. Risk: Planned collection levels are not achieved.			
Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
5.1 The system allows the prompt identification of accounts in arrears.	In-house reports have been generated to detail accounts outstanding and the stage of the recovery process. The reports used were reviewed by discussion with	Yes	
	the staff concerned and the arrangements were found to be the same as those in previous years.		
5.2 Arrears action is monitored and the action taken by tracing agents, bailiffs and the courts is monitored and acted upon.	Formal procedures are in place for the monitoring of accounts in arrears and these set out the escalating actions to be taken should taxpayers continue to default.	Yes	
5.3 Arrangements to pay are independently authorised and documentary evidence supports this.	The CTAPS system is used for the monitoring of special arrangements made with Council Taxpayers who have defaulted on the original payment terms. Once an arrangement has been made a confirmation of the arrangement is generated by the system and sent to the Council Taxpayer.	Yes	
	The control in place has not changed from previous years.		
5.4 Special arrangements to pay are monitored to ensure compliance with the agreement.	The CTAPS system continues to be used for the monitoring of payments where special arrangements have been made. Where clients default on the agreed payment arrangements, the system generates reports for follow-up by staff.	Yes	

Control objective 5: Arrears are identified promptly and pursued.
Risk: Planned collection levels are not achieved.

Expected control 5.5 Written procedures exist for the execution of warrants etc.	Audit findings A leaflet is sent to taxpayers with their initial bill explaining the collection procedures and the procedures that will be followed where payment is not received. It was confirmed that the leaflet was the same as that applicable in the previous year. Signed and detailed agreements exist for the two firms of bailiffs employed by the Council Tax Section.	Expected control met Yes	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
 5.6 After a liability order has been made, the Council, as billing authority, should carry out one of the following:- a) request information as to the debtor's employment or income b) make an attachment of earnings or allowances order c) levy distress d) apply for commitment to prison where the Council is unable to levy distress, for whatever reason e) prove the debt in insolvency f) where the debt outstanding exceeds £1,000, apply for a charging order. 	It was confirmed by discussion with the staff concerned that the procedures followed once a liability order has been issued continue to operate in the same manner as in previous years.	Yes	
5.7 All recovery cases suspended should be reviewed regularly by senior management.	Cases in suspension are marked on the Council Tax system using an "on hold" indicator. A sample of 10 such cases reviewed at the last audit were checked against the Recovery Suppression report at 24/08/04 and the only personal account remaining on hold is being cleared in line with the payment agreement.	Yes	

Expected control	Audit findings	Expected control met	Audit recommendation And management response, officer responsible and date for implementation
6.1 All accounts written off have supporting documentation for the decision.	Supporting documentation is attached to details of accounts to be written off. This is passed to Client Services for checking prior to being authorised by the Head of Finance, in accordance with Financial Regulations.	No	Immediate efforts should be made to clear the current backlog of cases awaiting authorisation for write-off to ensure that the recommended twice-yearly arrangements for write-offs will be achieved. (Medium risk)
&	At the time of the audit, no debts had been written off in the current financial year. Details of a number of debts for write-off were provided in early August to Client Services for checking, but as yet these write-offs had not been sent to the Head of Finance for authorisation and processed through the system. The previous audit also identified a backlog in cases awaiting authorisation, and it was agreed that cases would be cleared at least twice per year. This has not been fully implemented. A sample of nine cases awaiting write-off, each for a different reason, confirmed that appropriate checks had been made prior to submitting the request for approval.		Comments of the Head of Finance
			Implemented Write-offs authorised 10/02/05
			<u>Date for Implementation</u>
			February 2005
			Officer Responsible for Implementation
6.2 All amounts written off are properly authorised in accordance with Financial Regulations.			Revenues Manager
		No	The flowchart depicting the write-off procedure should be updated to reflect the use of 'Experian Citizenview system' at Gedling Borough Council. (Low risk)
	It was also noted that the flowchart had not been updated for the replacement of the use of tracing agents by Experian Citizenview system and the resultant cost savings.		Comments of the Head of Finance
			Not agreed. This is part of the trace procedure not write off.
			Date for Implementation
			Not applicable.
			Officer Responsible for Implementation
			Not applicable.

Control objective 7: Adjustments to the system and the data held are monitored and reviewed. Risk: Unauthorised adjustments could result in reduced collection rates. **Expected Audit recommendation** control And management response, officer responsible and date **Expected control Audit findings** met for implementation The Revenue Services Manager monitors access Access rights should be deleted promptly when 7.1 Access levels are regularly monitored and No staff leave to ensure that only valid users have levels on a quarterly basis. reviewed for accuracy and appropriateness. access to the system. A review of the access levels showed one Council Tax (Medium Risk) officer and two Housing Benefits officers had left but not had their access deleted Comments of the Head of Finance This is current policy. Mistakes due to clerical error. Date for Implementation Not applicable. Officer Responsible for Implementation Not applicable. 7.2 Any intervention in the systems controls are Access to systems controls is gained at manager Yes level. Three members of staff have this level of restricted to authorised persons. Such action is access, which is deemed to be appropriate to ensure recorded and reasons given. the efficient operation of the system.

Control objective 8: The setting of the Council Tax complies with statutory and non-statutory requirements Risk: The calculation of Council Tax may be inaccurate. Expected **Audit recommendation** control And management response, officer responsible and date **Audit findings Expected control** met for implementation 8.1 Statutory requirements relating to the A timetable is drawn up for the setting of the Council Yes setting of the Council Tax are followed. Tax to ensure compliance with statutory requirements. The timetable is set and approved prior to the setting 8.2 A timetable is agreed and implemented for Yes the setting of the Council Tax and billing. of the council tax. A copy of the timetable for the current financial year was obtained during the audit. 8.3 The Council Tax being set is appropriately The Council Tax set is authorised by Members. Yes authorised. A copy of the Council Tax Resolution approved by the Council on 10/03/04 was obtained to confirm the authorisation of the Council Tax for the current year. 8.4 The figures applied in the setting of the The figures used for the setting of the Council Tax Yes Council Tax agree to those supplied by the were verified to the Valuation Office figures and the Valuation Office and Council Tax system. Council Tax system as at 9 February 2004. The supporting papers showing evidence of this reconciliation were reviewed during the audit. Written notifications from the relevant authorities 8.5 Precepts collected for the County Council, Yes Police Authority and Fire Authority are correctly detailing the total payable, charge by band and instalment dates were seen during the audit . calculated and transferred on agreed dates.

Testing of the 12 payments due from GBC between 23/04/04 and 06/08/04 proved all were made on time

and for the agreed amounts.

Control objective 9: Management Information is produced timely and ensures the correct treatment of transactions within the accounts. Risk: Problems and errors are not identified promptly. **Expected** Audit recommendation control And management response, officer responsible and date **Expected control Audit findings** met for implementation 9.1 Management information is produced Management information is produced on a monthly Yes regularly includes collection rates, arrears basis to include items such as collection rates, etc. recovery, adjustments and amounts written off. Review of the reports generated for June 2004 confirmed that their coverage is appropriate. 9.2 Reconciliations between FMS and the Cash income reconciliations between the Council Tax Yes system and FMS are carried out monthly, and a full Council Tax system are undertaken regularly. reconciliation between the two systems occurs annually. As recommended in the previous audit, a statement Yes 9.3 A record of interests is maintained for those was issued to staff to ensure that they are aware not officers living in the Borough and for those with family and friends within the Borough. Staff to work on any account in which they may have an interest. This is now reinforced by a signed should make such interests known and be declaration from each member of staff having access advised not to work on connected accounts. to the Council Tax system.